

Fluxes and Branes in Type II Vacua and M-theory Geometry with G_2 and $Spin(7)$ Holonomy

Gottfried Curio,^{1,†} Boris Körs,^{2,*} and Dieter Lüst^{3,†}

[†]*Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Physik,
 D-10115 Berlin, Germany*

^{*}*Spinoza Institute, Utrecht University,
 Utrecht, The Netherlands*

ABSTRACT

We discuss fluxes of RR and NSNS background fields in type II string compactifications on non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds together with their dual brane description which involves bound states of branes. Simultaneously turning on RR and NSNS 2-form fluxes in an 1/2 supersymmetric way can be geometrically described in M-theory by a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ family of metrics of G_2 holonomy. On the other hand, if the flux configuration only preserves 1/4 of supersymmetries, we postulate the existence of a new eight-dimensional manifold with $spin(7)$ holonomy, which does not seem to fit into the classes of known examples. The latter situation is dual to a 1/4 supersymmetric web of branes on the deformed conifold. In addition to the 2-form fluxes, we also present some considerations on type IIA NSNS 4-form and 6-form fluxes.

¹email: curio@physik.hu-berlin.de

²email: kors@phys.uu.nl

³email: luest@physik.hu-berlin.de

1 Introduction

String compactifications with background fluxes constitute an interesting class of string vacua. In type II or M-theory compactifications on Calabi-Yau spaces background fluxes are provided by vacuum expectation values of internal NSNS and RR H-fields [1–17]. These stringy fluxes cause several very interesting effects in the effective field theory, as they provide an effective superpotential, which may completely or partially break the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. E.g. going to the rigid limit, where gravity decouples, a superpotential with specially chosen fluxes, can realize partial supersymmetry breaking to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ [6]. In the corresponding four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theory this superpotential captures many interesting effects, notably gaugino condensation, and provides a correct description of the non-perturbative vacuum structure of these $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories [18, 19, 20]. However for this to work, two type of stringy fluxes are required, namely fluxes of RR as well as of NSNS n -form H-fields. There is no problem in type IIB compactifications on a Calabi-Yau space W_6 , as the necessary fluxes are provided by internal vev's of $H_R^{(3)}$ and $H_{NS}^{(3)}$. However in the type IIA mirror picture there are, at the first sight, only the RR fluxes $H_R^{(n)}$ ($n = 0, 2, 4, 6$) present, and the question arises what the IIA mirror image of $H_{NS}^{(3)}$ actually is. One of the aims of this paper is to get a better understanding of the NS-fluxes in type IIA and their geometric interpretation in M-theory compactifications. To this end it will also be crucial to reinterpret the fluxes in terms of dual brane configurations.

To be specific, consider the flux induced four-dimensional superpotential in type IIB on a Calabi-Yau space W_6 [6, 7]:

$$W_{IIB} = \int (\tau H_{NS}^{(3)} + H_R^{(3)}) \wedge \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is the holomorphic 3-form on the Calabi-Yau space and $\tau = a + i/g_s$ is the complex string coupling constant. The fluxes are defined as the values of $H_R^{(3)}$ and $\tau H_{NS}^{(3)}$, integrated over a base of 3-cycles $\mathcal{C}^{(3)}$. Note that due to the contribution of $\tau H_{NS}^{(3)}$ these fluxes are complex, and they contain $4h_{2,1}^{W_6} + 4$ flux parameters. The superpotential (1.1) transforms covariantly under the type IIB $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ S-duality symmetry with respect to τ , which exchanges $H_R^{(3)} \leftrightarrow H_{NS}^{(3)}$.

The question is how these situations manifest itself in the type IIA compactification on the mirror Calabi-Yau space X_6 with Hodge numbers $h_{1,1}^{X_6} = h_{2,1}^{W_6}$? In type IIA the RR flux induced superpotential in four dimensions is [6, 13]

$$W_{IIA} = \sum_{n=0}^3 \int_{X_6} H_R^{(2n)} \wedge J^{3-n}. \quad (1.2)$$

Hence the IIA superpotential is determined by the quantized values of the RR H-fluxes on the 6,4,2 and 0-cycles of X_6 , namely

$$M_0 = \int H_R^{(6)}, \quad M_A = \int H_R^{(4)}, \quad N_A = \int H_R^{(2)}, \quad N_0 = \int H_R^{(0)} \quad (1.3)$$

($A = 1, \dots, h_{1,1}^{X_6}$). Altogether the type IIA fluxes M_I and N_I provide $2h_{1,1}^{X_6} + 2$ real integer parameters, and hence there is an apparent mismatch of parameters compared to the type IIB superpotential (1.1). At the same time in the type IIA superpotential there is no dependence of the string coupling constant g_s , as well as no trace of the former IIB S-duality symmetry. The reason for this discrepancy is of course that in type IIA there are no even-dimensional NSNS fields strength $H_{NS}^{(2n)}$ in the perturbative string spectrum which could contribute to the superpotential in an obvious way.

Besides mirror symmetry, valid already in four space-time dimensions, type IIA and type IIB on the same background space X become T-dual to each other [21, 22, 23] if one compactifies both theories on a circle $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ to one dimension lower (we call this circle $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ since in the cases of interest X_6 is a six-dimensional CY-space, and therefore this circle denotes the compact third spatial direction), i.e. starting say from type IIA on $X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$, with $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ -radius R_3 , is equivalently described by type IIB on $X_6 \times \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_3^1$, where the radius of the dual circle $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_3^1$ is given by $1/R_3$. Extending this scenario to M-theory on $X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$, the type IIB S-duality can geometrically be described by the exchange $R_3 \leftrightarrow R_{11}$ [24, 25, 26, 27], since the string coupling constant can be expressed as $g_s = R_{11}/R_3$. In addition, the complexified coupling constant τ arises as the complex structure modulus of the extra torus. Due to this geometric M-theory realization of S-duality in one dimension lower, we should expect to find the trace of the needed NSNS H-fluxes in three dimensions, where we compactify type IIA on a seven-dimensional space, respectively M-theory on an eight-dimensional space called X_8 . But in the presence of fluxes (or branes), X_8 will not be any longer a direct product space $X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$. In the following we will examine the situation in which X_8 has G_2 or respectively $spin(7)$ holonomy.⁴

In the main part of the paper we will discuss the possibility of having non-vanishing type IIA RR 2-form flux $H_R^{(2)}$ together with non-vanishing NSNS 2-form flux $H_{NS}^{(2)}$ through the same $\mathbb{S}^2$ inside the Calabi-Yau space X_6 . In M-theory these fluxes will be purely geometrical. Consider first N units of the flux of the RR two-form field strength $H_R^{(2)}$, integrated over a two-sphere in X_6 : $N = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} H_R^{(2)}$, but with zero NSNS 2-form flux. Since the corresponding RR one-form gauge field $A_R^{(1)}$, $H_R^{(2)} = dA_R^{(1)}$, originates from the eleven-dimensional metric of M-theory, the 2-form flux is given by a certain topologically non-trivial metric configuration in M-theory with topological charge N . Namely the

⁴For some papers on G_2 and $spin(7)$ manifolds see [28–57].

M-theory lift of the RR 2-form flux can be described by extending the IIA 2-sphere $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$, which is modded out by the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}_N$. As a result, the seven-dimensional space X_7 is actually not any more given by the direct product $X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$, where X_6 is a Calabi-Yau manifold, but is a circle fibration over a six-dimensional base; in addition X_7 has extended holonomy, namely, since the theory has $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions (i.e. four conserved supercharges), X_7 must have G_2 holonomy.

If one restricts to the local geometry, the resolved conifold, there is a dual description of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ large N gauge theory in terms of N D6-branes which are wrapped around the 3-cycle in the deformed conifold geometry $T^*\mathbb{S}^3$. They are BPS solutions of a suitably gauged supergravity with a twisted, non-commutative world volume theory [58]. So the transition from 2-form flux to wrapped D6-branes corresponds to the conifold transition in the Calabi-Yau geometry. In M-theory, this will be manufactured by a flop transition in the G_2 manifold, which exchanges two different 3-spheres in X_7 .

More generally, we next consider the general situation where N units of RR 2-form flux and N' units of NSNS 2-form flux through the same 2-sphere are turned on at the same time. This means that we go from 11 to 3 dimensions on an 8-dimensional space X_8 , which is however not any more of the direct product form $X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$, but X_8 will be a torus fibration over a six-dimensional base. Normally these fluxes also preserve $1/2$ supersymmetries, and any R-NS flux combination can be brought back by a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation to a situation, where we have only RR or only NS 2-form flux. However, as we will argue, a particular conspiracy of both types of 2-form fluxes can reduce the number of conserved supersymmetries again by a factor of two, i.e. we will deal with an eight-dimensional geometry with two preserved supercharges which is the minimal amount of supersymmetry in three dimensions, normally called $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. In this case X_8 should be a manifold of $spin(7)$ holonomy. In fact, the existence of these configurations is supported due to the dual brane picture in terms of $1/4$ BPS brane webs.

More concretely, this configuration will be given in terms N D6-branes bound together with N' Kaluza-Klein monopoles, wrapped around the 3-sphere of the deformed conifold. In type IIB these bound states are described by (p, q) webs of 5-branes which preserve 8 supercharges before compactification. The three-dimensional field theory with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry will live on the three-dimensional non-compact world volume of the D6-KK system.

In the final part of the paper we want to add some remarks on how to get NSNS 4-form flux together with RR 2-form flux, which is necessary to describe gaugino condensation

in the corresponding large N gauge theory. As noted already in [18] and as it can be seen from reducing down from the G_2 manifold, turning on RR 2-form flux on X_6 implies that X_6 is actually not anymore a Calabi-Yau space, but the holomorphic three-form Ω of X_6 is not any more closed. The non-closure of Ω has then the effect of a non-vanishing imaginary part of the NS 4-form flux [18]. Again, this becomes more transparent going to M-theory extending X_6 to the G_2 manifold. We will extend this discussion by arguing that a certain 4-form can provide the real part of the complex 4-form flux, such that the complex flux parameter is given by the complex, holomorphic volume of the M-theory three-cycle.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next chapter we will discuss some basic properties of the spectrum of M-theory on $\mathbb{T}^2$ and the possible fluxes if one further compactifies to three dimensions. In section three and four we will discuss the RR and NSNS 2-form fluxes together with the dual brane configurations and describe their relation to eight-dimensional background spaces, which are conjectured to possess a metric of $spin(7)$ holonomy. In section five we will turn to the construction of the 4-form fluxes. We will also discuss the RR and NSNS 6-form fluxes. The summary gives an overview over the considered cases.

2 M-theory compactification to three dimensions

In this section we will discuss some generic properties of M-theory compactified to three dimensions to set up some notation. Let us first compactify M-theory on a two-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, which can be viewed as $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$, or equivalently type IIA on $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ to $D = 9$. The decomposition of the metric yields

$$G_{MN} \rightarrow G_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu^3, A_\mu^{11}, \phi, \phi', a. \quad (2.1)$$

The complex structure τ of $\mathbb{T}^2$ is built by the two scalar fields ϕ and a ,

$$\tau = a + i\phi, \quad \phi \sim \frac{R_3}{R_{11}}. \quad (2.2)$$

In type IIB τ is just the complexified coupling constant $\tau = a + i/g_s$. The strong-weak coupling $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformations act on τ in the usual way:

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad ad - bc = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that A_μ^3, A_μ^{11} form a doublet under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, which is essentially given by the exchange of $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ with $\mathbb{S}_3^1$. The decomposition of the 3-form yields

$$C_{MNP} \rightarrow C_{\mu\nu\rho}, B_{\mu\nu}^3, B_{\mu\nu}^{11}, C_\mu. \quad (2.4)$$

In terms of NSNS and RR sectors one has

$$\begin{aligned}\text{NSNS :} \quad & G_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}^3, A_\mu^3, C_\mu, \phi, \phi', \\ \text{RR :} \quad & C_{\mu\nu\rho}, B_{\mu\nu}^{11}, A_\mu^{11}, a.\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Note that $C_{\mu\nu\rho}, C_\mu$ are both singlets under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Altogether among the odd forms we have three 1-forms $A_3^{(1)}, A_{11}^{(1)}, C^{(1)}$ and one 3-form $C^{(3)}$.

Compactification on a six-dimensional space X_6 allows $4 \times h_{1,1}$ fluxes from $F_3^{(2)} = dA_3^{(1)}$, $F_{11}^{(2)} = dA_{11}^{(1)}$, $G^{(2)} = dC^{(1)}$ and $G^{(4)} = dC^{(3)}$. $F_3^{(2)}$ and $F_{11}^{(2)}$ form a doublet and transform under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{11}^{(2)} \\ F_3^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_{11}^{(2)} \\ F_3^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}.\tag{2.6}$$

Therefore they are naturally complexified as

$$H^{(2)} = H_R^{(2)} + \tau H_{NS}^{(2)} \equiv F_{11}^{(2)} + \tau F_3^{(2)}.\tag{2.7}$$

This leads to a superpotential in three dimensions of the form

$$W = \int H^{(2)} \wedge J \wedge J = (N_A + \tau N'_A) F_A, \quad F_A = \int (J \wedge J).\tag{2.8}$$

According to the introduction we denote the corresponding $2 \times h_{1,1}$ RR and NSNS 2-form fluxes as $(A = 1, \dots, h_{1,1})$

$$N'_A = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(2)}} H_{NS}^{(2)} = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(2)}} F_3^{(2)}, \quad N_A = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(2)}} H_R^{(2)} = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(2)}} F_{11}^{(2)},\tag{2.9}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_A^{(2)}$ are the homology 2-cycles on X_6 . The 2-form fluxes will be further discussed in section 3.

For $C_{\mu\nu\rho}, C_\mu$, being $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ singlets, we define a 4-form field strength $H^{(4)}$, which does not include the coupling constant τ , as

$$H^{(4)} = H_R^{(4)} + H_{NS}^{(4)} \equiv G^{(4)} + \tilde{G}^{(2)},\tag{2.10}$$

where $\tilde{G}^{(2)}$ is the dual of $G^{(2)}$ in X_6 . Hence we could also like to consider a superpotential of the form

$$W = \int H^{(4)} \wedge J = (M_A + M'_A) X^A, \quad X^A = \int J,\tag{2.11}$$

and call the $2 \times h_{1,1}$ RR and NSNS 4-form fluxes as

$$M'_A = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(4)}} H_{NS}^{(4)} = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(4)}} \tilde{G}_2, \quad M_A = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(4)}} H_R^{(4)} = \int_{\mathcal{C}_A^{(4)}} G_4.\tag{2.12}$$

The $\mathcal{C}_A^{(4)}$ are the 4-cycles dual to the $\mathcal{C}_A^{(2)}$. Further discussion of the 4-form fluxes and a possible geometrical origin of the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ invariance can be found in section 4.

3 NSNS and RR 2-form fluxes

In this chapter we present the geometrical M-theory interpretation of fluxes in type IIA compactifications on Calabi-Yau spaces and their dual brane settings. The more precise nature of the resultant M-theory background will be further elucidated in chapter 4.

3.1 Geometric description of 2-form fluxes

In this section we describe geometric M-theory lift of the 2-form fluxes in a model independent way. Later we will embed this construction in some particular non-compact string theory respectively M-theory background spaces. Consider the 2-form flux through some compact 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. This $\mathbb{S}^2$ is part of the six-dimensional space in the directions (456789), say the $\mathbb{S}^2$ is the directions x_4 and x_5 . In addition we have the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ in the directions 3 and 11. Having non-trivial fluxes means that the corresponding magnetic field strengths on $\mathbb{S}^2$ are topologically non-trivial, namely for one unit of flux, A_μ^3 or A_μ^{11} essentially describes the field of a Dirac monopole; for arbitrary fluxes N or N' one deals with magnetic monopoles characterized by integer Chern numbers N or N' . Since in M-theory the 1-form gauge field entirely originates from the metric, it means that a non-vanishing flux corresponds a non-trivial metric configuration, namely to a fibration of the M-theory torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ over the base $\mathbb{S}^2$. We will call this four-dimensional space Σ_4 . It is finally part of the total eight-dimensional internal space, be it compact or non-compact, that locally factorizes

$$X_8 = X_4 \times \Sigma_4 = X_4 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1. \quad (3.1)$$

Its global structure will now be characterized in various cases. First we concentrate on the $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ part of X_8 .

Case (i): $N' = 0$ or $N = 0 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ supersymmetry

First discuss the simpler situation where either N units of RR 2-form flux or N' units of NSNS 2-form flux are turned on, but not both at the same time. The respective RR fluxes break half of the supersymmetries, as can be seen in the corresponding dual brane picture. In this case $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ is non-trivially fibred over $\mathbb{S}^2$. For $N = 1$ this is just the Hopf fibration of $\mathbb{S}^3$: $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$.⁵ Due to the exchange of the two circles by the type IIB S-duality, one just has to change the fibre of the Hopf bundle when switching from RR to NSNS flux, $\mathbb{S}^3$: $\mathbb{S}_3^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, for a single unit of NSNS 2-form flux. In the more general

⁵Hopf fibrations, branes and fluxes were also discussed recently in [59].

case of N units of RR 2-form flux (or N' units of NSNS 2-form flux) the corresponding $\mathbb{S}^1$ fibration is the quotient of the Hopf fibration by $\mathbb{Z}_N$, and we now call this 3-dimensional space Σ_3 (Σ'_3). With some slight abuse of standard notation let us denote this fibration by

$$\mathbb{S}^1(N) \longrightarrow \Sigma_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1. \quad (3.2)$$

The four-dimensional space Σ_4 is then given by

$$\Sigma_4 = \Sigma_3 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1 \quad \text{or} \quad \Sigma'_3 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \quad (3.3)$$

and the total space is

$$X_7 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1 \quad \text{or} \quad X'_7 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1. \quad (3.4)$$

Here, X_7 and X'_7 have as holonomy the group G_2 [32].

Case (ii): $N, N' \neq 0 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ supersymmetry

Now assume that we have non-zero RR and NSNS 2-form flux through the same $\mathbb{S}^2$, i.e. N and N' are both non-zero. Normally, these fluxes also preserve $1/2$ of supersymmetries. This can be seen from the fact that any flux configuration with quantum numbers $(N \ N')^T$ (N, N' relatively prime) can be transformed by a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation to the flux vector $(1 \ 0)^T$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} N \\ N' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} N & b \\ N' & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

Analogously, a general flux vector $(N \ N')^T$ with $n = \gcd(N, N')$ is related to $(n \ 0)^T$ via an $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation.

In the first place, the whole torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}_3^1 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ appears non-trivially fibred over $\mathbb{S}^2$, leading to the four-dimensional space Σ_4 . But, according to the above transformation of the fluxes, there is a linear combination of the two circles that is trivially fibered, while its dual circle is fibered non-trivially and with regard to $n = \gcd(N, N')$ units of flux. In other words one starts with $\mathbb{P}^1$ as base space and a two-dimensional $\mathbb{S}_3^1 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ fibre. In the simplest case $N = N' = 1$, this Σ_4 is a ‘Hopf fibre product’, namely a $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}_3^1 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ fibration, over $\mathbb{P}^1$, which we denote by

$$\mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \longleftarrow \mathbb{S}_3^1. \quad (3.6)$$

However, the torus fibration is such that by acting on the complex structure τ of the torus by the following $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation,

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{\tau}{\tau + 1}, \quad (3.7)$$

in the new homology basis one $\mathbb{S}^1$ is trivially fibred over $\mathbb{S}^2$, whereas the other $\mathbb{S}^1$ is the fibre of a Hopf bundle whose total space is an $\mathbb{S}^3$. This is the precise geometric analogue of the fact that the flux vector $(1 \ 1)^T$ is mapped to the flux vector $(1 \ 0)^T$. Therefore the space Σ_4 is topologically again given by $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

More generally, this space is divided by a discrete product group $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_{N'}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_N \subset \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{N'} \subset \mathbb{S}_3^1$. This means that Σ_4 is a $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration, namely a fibre product, characterized by two winding numbers N and N' . We denote the fibre product Σ_4 similar as before as

$$\Sigma_4 : \quad S^1(N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \longleftarrow S^1(N'). \quad (3.8)$$

But as before, acting on τ with the same $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation as in eq.(3.5) one circle is trivially fibred, and Σ_4 is given as

$$\Sigma_4 = \Sigma_3 \times \mathbb{S}^1. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus we see, that both types of 1/2 BPS configurations are related to a geometry where the total eight-dimensional space is still of a product structure of the kind (3.4) and thus preserves more than the minimal supersymmetry in $d = 3$.

Starting from the metric of $\mathbb{S}^3$, the metric of Σ_4 can be constructed in a straightforward way. Specifically parametrize $\mathbb{S}^3$ in terms of the three Euler angles θ , ϕ and ψ . The coordinates θ and ϕ are the coordinates of the $\mathbb{S}^2$ and ψ corresponds to the Hopf fibre $\mathbb{S}^1$. Then the standard metric of $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, which corresponds to the flux vector $(1 \ 0)^T$, has the form

$$ds^2 = (\sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2)^2 + (\sigma_3)^2 + (d\psi')^2, \quad (3.10)$$

where ψ' is the coordinate of the additional circle, and the σ_a are the left-invariant 1-forms on $SU(2)$:

$$\sigma_1 = \cos \psi \, d\theta + \sin \psi \sin \theta \, d\phi, \quad \sigma_2 = -\sin \psi \, d\theta + \cos \psi \sin \theta \, d\phi, \quad \sigma_3 = d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi. \quad (3.11)$$

Now consider the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation in eq.(3.5) which transforms to the flux vector $(N \ N')^T$. Acting with this transformation on the complex structure τ of the torus is equivalent to perform a holomorphic reparametrization on the torus coordinates, which is just a linear transformation on the real coordinates ψ and ψ' of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} N & b \\ N' & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then Σ_4 is described by an $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ family of metrics labeled by the two integers N, N' in the following way: by

$$\Sigma_4 : \quad ds^2 = (\sigma'_1)^2 + (\sigma'_2)^2 + (\sigma'_3)^2 + (\sigma'_4)^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Now the σ'_a take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_1 &= \cos(N\psi + b\psi') d\theta + \sin(N\psi + b\psi') \sin \theta d\phi, \\ \sigma'_2 &= -\sin(N\psi + b\psi') d\theta + \cos(N\psi + b\psi') \sin \theta d\phi, \\ \sigma'_3 &= Nd\psi + bd\psi' + \cos \theta d\phi, \\ \sigma'_4 &= N'd\psi + d(d\psi'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

In this way, the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that acts on the charge lattice extends to the metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. We now turn to configurations where the local geometry breaks to the minimal two supercharges in $d = 3$, i.e. should be given by a $spin(7)$ instead of a G_2 manifold.

Case (iii): $N, N' \neq 0 \leftrightarrow 1/4$ supersymmetry

In the following we will discuss a torus fibration $\mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow \Sigma_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which preserves $1/4$ instead of $1/2$ supersymmetry, which will actually be justified by referring to its dual brane realization later on. We require that the fibration is such that via a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation one cannot transform back to the situation where one cycle of the torus is trivially fibred over $\mathbb{S}^2$. In this way the NSNS and RR gauge fields are non-trivially intertwined. We will geometrically realize this situation by demanding the fibration is degenerate over some points of the $\mathbb{S}^2$, where one or both cycles of the torus shrink to zero size. There is no $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation which maps this flux configuration back to any single 2-form flux vector $(N \ 0)^T$, such that the topology of the four-dimensional space Σ_4 does no longer factorizes through $\Sigma_3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. In terms of its linear action on the coordinates of the $\mathbb{T}^2_{3,11}$, this can be rephrased in the statement that the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ equivalence breaks down as soon as cycles degenerate. In a similar situation, the related absence of a symplectic basis in an effective $\mathcal{N} = 2$ field theory in $d = 4$ was recognized as a prerequisite for a partial breaking of supersymmetry via fluxes on Calabi-Yau spaces in type IIA [6].

As a specific example of a torus fibration with degenerate fibres let us discuss the space $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Later, we will come to the point that $\mathbb{C}P^2$ appears in an eight-dimensional $spin(7)$ manifold based on the $SU(3)/U(1)$ coset. So let us briefly recall the toric construction of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ [60]. As it is well known, $\mathbb{C}P^2$ can be represented as

$$(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{C}^\star = \mathbb{S}^5 / U(1). \quad (3.15)$$

The fibre torus is defined by the $U(1)^2$ action, which is given by the $U(1)^3$ action on the three complex coordinates z_i , modulo the action of the diagonal $U(1)$. The basis of the $U(1)^2$ action can be chosen as

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3) \rightarrow (z_1 \exp(i\psi), z_2 \exp(i\psi'), z_3). \quad (3.16)$$

The action of the two respective $U(1)$'s has as fixed loci two $\mathbb{P}^1$'s parametrized by z_2/z_3 and z_1/z_3 respectively. This means that we can view $\mathbb{C}P^2$ as a $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration with generic $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibres with coordinates (ψ, ψ') and with base parametrized by $r_1 = |z_1/z_3|$ and $r_2 = |z_2/z_3|$. This base has the form of a triangle, where the $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration degenerates at the three edges of this triangle. More specifically, over one edge the a homology cycle of $\mathbb{T}^2$ is shrinking to zero size, at the second edge the b cycle degenerate, at the third edge the $a + b$ cycle is zero, and at the three vertices the whole torus degenerates.

Clearly, the description of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ as $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration over the triangle is not quite adapted to what we have in mind: The base triangle is a manifold with boundary and as such not suitable to lead to an integrated flux and the corresponding element of the second cohomology; among other things the independence of the flux value of the (to be integrated over) cycle in its homology class assumes the cycle boundaryless (the difference of two representatives rather should be the boundary of another cycle so that the relevant field strength vanishes after integration over that difference); clearly, in case the representatives have boundaries themselves, the boundary of the potential 'connecting' cycle (of one dimension higher) will consist of further pieces.

So instead of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ we will rather describe a $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration over an $\mathbb{S}^2$. For this note that with $z_k = r_k e^{i\phi_k}$ the $\mathbb{S}^5$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2 = \mathbb{S}^5/U(1)_D$ has the description $\sum_{k=1}^3 r_k^2 e^{2i\phi_k} = 1$. Clearly this restricts the z_k to their respective disks $|z_k| \leq 1$. Here r_k runs over $[0, 1]$ (with $\phi_k \in [0, 2\pi]$ where the endpoints are identified). Now let run ϕ_k instead rather only over $[0, \pi]$ with the endpoints identified and r_k over $[-1, +1]$ (so that positive/negative r_k covers the upper/lower half-disk). That is, we operate with the factor group $\widetilde{U(1)}_k := U(1)_k/\mathbb{Z}_2$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$. Now we will factor the projection $\mathbb{S}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2 = \mathbb{S}^5/U(1)_D$ through $\pi : \mathbb{S}^5 \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2} = \mathbb{S}^5/\widetilde{U(1)}_D$ where $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ cover. Then the other projection $\mathbb{S}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, with the $\mathbb{S}^2$ given by $\sum_{k=1}^3 r_k^2 = 1$, which factors out $\prod_{k=1}^3 \widetilde{U(1)}_k$, will factor over π , i.e. one has a $\mathbb{T}^2 = \prod_{k=1}^3 \widetilde{U(1)}_k/\widetilde{U(1)}_D$ fibration of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ over the mentioned $\mathbb{S}^2$. As a check note that the fibre gives a non-trivial contribution (of $+1$) to the Euler number of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ only if it does not contain *any* $\mathbb{S}^1$ any longer ('complete degeneration'); this occurs over the six points $r_k = \pm 1$ (and the other two r_i 's zero) for $k = 1, 2, 3$ leading to $e(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}) = 6$ as it should be for this two-fold cover of $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

This structure as a degenerate fibration of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is also evident in the Fubini-Study metric.

The corresponding Kähler potential is

$$K = \log(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2) \quad (3.17)$$

and from the associated (1,1) form $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}K$ one gets the metric in the patch $z_3 = 1$ (with $z := z_1, w := z_2$; note that $w dz - z dw = w^2 d(z/w)$)

$$ds_{\text{FS}}^2 = \frac{1}{(1 + z\bar{z} + w\bar{w})^2} \left(dz d\bar{z} + dw d\bar{w} + (w dz - z dw)(\bar{w} d\bar{z} - \bar{z} d\bar{w}) \right). \quad (3.18)$$

To connect to the description as a degenerate fibration of a $\mathbb{T}^2$ over a $\mathbb{P}^1$ note first that (3.17) is invariant under the $SU(3)$ action; the $\mathbb{S}^5 = \{K(z_i) = 0\}$ is of course the one in $\mathbb{S}^5 \cong SU(3)/SU(2)$ which underlies the isomorphism $SU(3)/U(2) \cong \mathbb{C}P^2$; the patches in $\mathbb{S}^5$ are the complements of the three sets $\{z_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{S}^5$. One can then switch to polar coordinates in the patch $\mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$. With

$$z = R \cos 4\phi \exp\left(i \frac{\psi + \psi'}{2}\right), \quad w = R \sin 4\phi \exp\left(i \frac{\psi - \psi'}{2}\right), \quad (3.19)$$

which identifies $\psi \pm \psi'$ as the coordinates of the fibre torus and R and ϕ as those of the base. In order to find a natural splitting of the metric into fibre and base it is more convenient to go back to the definition of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ above. In the patch $z_3 \neq 0$ we can switch to coordinates

$$\left[\frac{z}{\sqrt{1 + z\bar{z} + w\bar{w}}}, \frac{w}{\sqrt{1 + z\bar{z} + w\bar{w}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + z\bar{z} + w\bar{w}}} \right] = \left[p, q, \sqrt{1 - |p|^2 - |q|^2} \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

The fibre torus is then given by the phases of p and q , while the base space is parametrized by $|p|$ and $|q|$ subject to $|p|^2 + |q|^2 \leq 1$ within $[0, 1]$. Extending their domain to $[-1, 1]$ and including as well the opposite sign for the square root in (3.20) introduces the transition from $\mathbb{C}P^2$ to its double covering space, extending the base from a disc to a sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Evidently, the fibre degenerates at the poles of the $\mathbb{S}^2$ where any one of the coordinates p and q vanishes, or both. Note that this construction of a (degenerate) torus fibration is no longer elliptic but a purely real object. We can now relate the standard coordinates $\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ and $\phi \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ to p, q and z, w . Defining

$$\cos \theta = \sqrt{|p|^2 + |q|^2}, \quad \cos 4\phi = \frac{|p|}{\sqrt{|p|^2 + |q|^2}}, \quad (3.21)$$

the Fubini metric (3.18) on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ translates into

$$ds_{\text{FS}}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sin^2 \theta (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \sigma_3^2 \right) + d\theta^2, \quad (3.22)$$

where the left-invariant $SU(2)$ differentials σ_i read

$$\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 = d\phi^2 + \sin^2(8\phi) (d\psi')^2, \quad \sigma_3^2 = d\psi^2 + 2 \cos(8\phi) d\psi d\psi' + \cos^2(8\phi) (d\psi')^2. \quad (3.23)$$

In order to exhibit the torus fibration structure with base coordinates θ, ϕ and fibre coordinates ψ, ψ' a different splitting may also be convenient:

$$ds_{\text{FS}}^2 = \tilde{\Sigma}_1^2 + \tilde{\Sigma}_2^2 + \tilde{\nu}_1^2 + \tilde{\nu}_2^2, \quad (3.24)$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ are identified as

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = d\theta + i \sin \theta \, d\phi, \quad \tilde{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \sin(8\phi) \, d\psi' + \frac{i}{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta (d\psi + \cos(8\phi) d\psi'). \quad (3.25)$$

One can now readily reproduce the limiting cases when one or both of the fibre circles degenerate: When ϕ goes to either 0 or $\pi/8$, then $\sigma_3 \longrightarrow d\psi \pm d\psi'$ while $\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \longrightarrow d\phi^2$. Then the metric reduces to

$$ds_{\text{FS}}^2(\phi = 0, \frac{\pi}{8}) = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2 + \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \, d(\psi \pm \psi')^2. \quad (3.26)$$

This is the metric induced by the flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$, the standard metric on the $\mathbb{S}^2$, times a circle which is fibered over a line segment $\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ and vanishes at the degeneration points, where $z, w = 0$ or ∞ simultaneously. This metric, of course, does no longer describe an $\mathbb{S}^3$, just as the given differentials do not satisfy an $SU(2)$ algebra.

The structure of degeneration in the fibre-torus does not allow a trivialization of any $\mathbb{S}^1$ via the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$: Within the smooth domain the geometry can be mapped to that of a single flux vector. But along the lines, where any single S^1 degenerates and at the intersection locus, where the two of the three cycles a, b and $a + b$ degenerate simultaneously, no such equivalence exists. Instead, one can map to the ‘standard’ configuration, where just the circles $\mathbb{S}_3^1, \mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ and a (homological) linear combination of the two degenerate along the respective lines in the base. This will become evident also in the dual brane picture by identifying the degeneration locus with a (p, q) web of branes, which cannot be trivialized at the intersections either.

In fact, the description of the geometry translates into a more precise statement for the corresponding fluxes in the effective type II theory. Along the smooth domain, where the torus is non-degenerate, the fibration can be entirely described by RR plus NSNS 2-form fluxes. However at the singular locus, besides the fluxes also some branes are needed. Namely the singular loci will be interpreted as the loci of KK monopoles respectively D6-branes. Thus we are lead to consider that the description of the resolved conifold still involves branes along circles inside the base $\mathbb{P}^1$, in addition to the fluxes present anyway. For example, the intersection locus L , where both cycles of the torus degenerate, is of codimension four in X_8 . In this case we have additional D6-branes, located at L , and with world volumes along $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathcal{C}^{(4)}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ is the uncompactified 3-dimensional space

time, and $\mathcal{C}^{(4)}$ is some (non-compact) cycle inside X_8 . Nevertheless, the configuration is still of completely geometrical nature in eleven dimensions, as only D6-branes of type IIA are involved in the brane configuration, which we turn to now.

3.2 The dual brane picture of the 2-form fluxes

We shall now construct the relevant brane configurations in type IIA and IIB, which are dual to the compactifications with background fluxes discussed above. Again, we proceed case by case.

Case (i): $N' = 0$ or $N = 0 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ supersymmetry

The dual IIA brane description of the RR 2-form fluxes is given by N D6-branes (being wrapped around an $\mathbb{S}^3$ in the direction 456). Like N units of RR flux, the N wrapped D6-branes preserve $1/2$ of the supersymmetries, when wrapping around a sLag cycle. The M-theory lift of the D6 branes is indeed purely geometrical, namely they are given by N coincident Kaluza-Klein ($\mathcal{KK}$) monopoles. These are given in terms of an A_{N-1} singularity filling the 789(11) spatial directions, where the isometry direction of the $\mathcal{KK}$ monopoles is to be identified with the M-theory circle $\mathbb{S}^1_{11}$. For $N = 1$ the A_1 singularity is just given by the space $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$ [60]; modding out this space by the $U(1)$ circle action in the eleventh direction, $T^*\mathbb{P}^1/U(1)$ describes the 3-dimensional IIA geometry. The two degeneration points on this space, where the $U(1)$ fibre has zero size, corresponds to the locations of the two D6-branes. Analogously, any NS-flux is then geometrically described by flipping the two circles and applying the above accordingly.

Case (ii): $N, N' \neq 0 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ supersymmetry

The dual brane picture of N units of RR 2-form flux together with N' units of NSNS 2-form flux reveals a better understanding for the amount of supersymmetry preserved by the fluxes: Recall that in type IIA the RR 2-form flux corresponds to N D6-branes wrapped around an $\mathbb{S}^3$, where the D6-brane world volumes are for example in the directions 0123456 (456 are the compact $\mathbb{S}^3$ directions). Adding the NSNS 2-form flux means that the dual brane picture corresponds to a boundstate of N D6-branes together with N' type IIA KK monopoles which extend in the directions 012456, as depicted in table 1 (the x denote the world volume directions, and the $\cdot$ correspond to the isometry directions of the KK ($\mathcal{KK}$) monopoles).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D6	x	x	x	x	x	x			
KK	x	x	·	x	x	x			

Table 1. IIA D6-KK bound state configuration

The circle $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ is the isometry direction of the KK monopoles. Going to type IIB via a T-duality along $\mathbb{S}_3^1$, one obtains a (N, N') 5-brane configuration, namely a non-threshold boundstate of N D5-branes with N' NS5-branes [24, 61], with world volumes both in the directions 012456, which means they are wrapped around the same $\mathbb{S}^3$, as given in table 2.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D5	x	x		x	x	x			
NS5	x	x		x	x	x			

Table 2. IIB D5-NS5 bound state configuration

This boundstate is analogous to the (N, N') string, which is a boundstate of N elementary strings and N' D1-branes. Via $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformations the (N, N') 5-brane can be related to a 5-brane state with quantum numbers $(n, 0)$. Therefore the non-threshold (N, N') 5-brane preserves 1/2 supersymmetry. Alternatively one can start with a threshold bound state of N D5-branes together with N' NS5-branes which are parallel, i.e. they share the same world volume directions. Then the Killing spinor equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{NS5 :} \quad & \epsilon_L = \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_5 \epsilon_L, \quad \epsilon_R = -\Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_5 \epsilon_R, \\
\text{D5 :} \quad & \epsilon_L = \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_5 \epsilon_R,
\end{aligned}$$

cannot be satisfied at the same time and no supersymmetry is preserved. However the condensation to a 1/2 BPS non-threshold boundstate can be thought of as the result of switching on some gauge field flux on the NS5-brane just as the (1,1) string can be thought of as the result of switching on electric flux on the D-string.

The M-theory lift of the type IIA situation is described in terms of a configuration of N $\mathcal{KK}$ monopoles with world volumes along 0123456 and isometry in $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ together with N' $\mathcal{KK}'$ monopoles along 012456(11) and isometry in $\mathbb{S}_3^1$. This is summarized in table 3.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	11
$\mathcal{KK}$	x	x	x	x	x	x				.
$\mathcal{KK}'$	x	x	.	x	x	x				x

Table 3. M-theory $\mathcal{KK}$ - $\mathcal{KK}'$ bound state configuration

There is a very direct way to deduce the same results also directly within type IIA. One can view the configuration of table 3 as a configuration of D6-branes upon compactifying along any of the 789 directions, for instance. Another T-duality along 3 or 11 then produces a $D(2p)$ - $D(2p-2)$ ($p=3$) bound state, which where discussed e.g. in [62, 63, 64].⁶ The open string spectrum contains a bifundamental tachyon that signals the condensation towards the non-threshold bound state. At a certain value for the background gauge field on the brane, the tachyon becomes massless and the deformation between the bound state and the superposition of the two branes with this flux is marginal. In any case, the bound state of a $D(2p)$ - $D(2p-2)$ brane system is 1/2 BPS, which confirms the result for the type IIB picture. The endpoint of the tachyon condensation in this simplest case is given by a supersymmetric 1-cycle of appropriate RR charge, i.e. N D6-branes along $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ and N' D6-branes along $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ will condense into a single stack of $n = \gcd(N, N')$ D6-branes along the cycle with homology class $(N/n, N'/n)$ in terms of the classes of the two circles. Thus, the condensation of branes in type IIA provides a geometric realization of the charge lattice in type IIB, which has its origin in the homology lattice of the torus. By a similar reasoning one can also infer that no such bound state of $D(2p)$ -D0 branes can give rise to a local geometry of $spin(7)$ holonomy. This would imply a 1/16 BPS bound state, given by a D8-D0 superposition. Upon T-dualities, this cannot be mapped to a configuration of D6-branes, but instead to D5-branes, just by counting the number of duality transformations necessary, 4 along and 1 transverse to the D8-branes. Thus, this state cannot be exclusively geometric in M-theory.

Case (iii): $N, N' \neq 0 \leftrightarrow 1/4$ supersymmetry

Now we are dealing with a (N, N') boundstate of two Kaluza-Klein monopoles in M-theory, which preserves 1/4 of supersymmetries. For convenience let us discuss the 1/4 BPS state in the more familiar, T-dual type IIB picture, where it maps to an (N, N') web

⁶See also the generalization in [65] for bound states with additional fluxes turned on, where relations to non-commutative geometry were drawn.

of 5-branes of type IIB [66, 67]. The local singularity is now given by a six-dimensional, non-compact Calabi-Yau space, which in the most simple case can be described as $N(\mathbb{P}^2)$ [60] (say in the directions 36789 and 11) which denotes $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (or according to our previous discussion perhaps better its 2-fold cover $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$) with the normal bundle on top of it. This simplest geometry refers to the presence of a (1,0) brane, a (0,1) brane and, for the sake of conserving charge at the common intersection, a (-1,-1) brane. Each brane taken separately can be well described in terms of RR or NSNS 2-form fluxes, respectively by a fibration of the appropriate $\mathbb{S}^1$ over the base $\mathbb{P}^1$. Also linear combinations of these fluxes could be reduced to the case of just a single one in the manner described in the previous section by employing the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. But the non-threshold brane web setting is not equivalent to any single 2-form flux vector.

This space then replaces $\Sigma_4 = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ in the dual flux picture. $N(\mathbb{C}P^2)$ inherits a $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration from $\mathbb{C}P^2$; the four-dimensional space $N(\mathbb{C}P^2)/\mathbb{T}_{3,11}^2$ is trivial except on the ‘triangle’ where the torus degenerates. In fact, the degeneration locus can be identified with the configuration of the (N, N') web of 5-branes. In type IIA these correspond to webs of D6-branes which stretch along the 1-cycles of the torus according to the (N, N') charges of the bound state, giving rise to a purely geometric M-theory background.

One can further also consider more general webs of branes, which all give rise to 1/4 BPS bound states. Using $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation any junction of three branes in a web can be mapped to standard form, consisting of the intersection of a (1,0) brane, a (0,1) brane plus one of type (N, N') which together preserve 1/4 of supersymmetries provided the angle of the (N, N') brane is precisely the one in the toric diagram of the degeneration locus (for more discussion see [60]). Therefore we expect to find a large class of non-compact geometries with a metric of $spin(7)$ holonomy by defining degenerate fibrations over $\mathbb{P}^1$ (or its double cover) in terms of the respective brane web. Put another way, we compactify six-dimensional critical theories with 8 supercharges on the deformed conifold where the D6-branes wrap an $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to get a three-dimensional theory with 2 supercharges. If this works generically, then any such theory should give rise to a (non-compact) $spin(7)$ manifold. Note the difference of this construction compared to the $spin(7)$ manifolds in [34, 50, 58], which are constructed by wrapping D6-branes over supersymmetric four-cycles inside a G_2 manifold.

4 M-theory on the G_2 and $spin(7)$ manifolds

In this chapter we add some further observations on the geometry of the M-theory backgrounds whose origin was described in the previous one.

4.1 The 1/2 BPS flux and M-theory on a G_2 manifold

In this chapter we will embed the 1/2 supersymmetric 2-form fluxes (*cases (i) and (ii)* in the previous section) through an $\mathbb{S}^2$ into a six-dimensional, non-compact Calabi-Yau geometry. Since the background geometry preserves 1/4 of supersymmetry, the total number of supercharges is 4. Therefore the M-theory lift has to be described by a seven-dimensional space of G_2 holonomy. We will consider as specific example the model of [18, 32], namely the non-compact Calabi-Yau space X_6 is given by the resolved conifold $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This is dual to the situation where the flux is replaced by D6-branes being wrapped over the $\mathbb{S}^3$ of the deformed conifold $T^*\mathbb{S}^3$.

First consider the simplest situation of having N units of RR 2-form flux through the $\mathbb{S}^2$ at finite radius (*case (i)* before). This flux induces a superpotential in four dimensions of the form

$$W = N \Pi, \quad N = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} H_R^{(2)}, \quad \Pi = \int_{\mathcal{C}^{(4)}} (J \wedge J) \quad (4.1)$$

where the integral which defines Π goes over the non-compact 4-cycle $\mathcal{C}^{(4)} = \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3$, being dual to the $\mathbb{S}^2$. The M-theory lift is provided by replacing the six-dimensional conifold geometry by a seven-dimensional non-compact G_2 manifold X_7 . It is given by the spin bundle over $\mathbb{S}^3$ with topology of $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Asymptotically this G_2 manifold has the form of a cone whose base is topologically $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, represented as

$$(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2) - (|\tilde{z}_1|^2 + |\tilde{z}_2|^2) = V > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Here the complex coordinates $\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2$ parametrize $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, whereas z_1, z_2 provide the coordinates of $\mathbb{S}^3$.

To get N units of RR 2-form flux the eleventh circle $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ is embedded into $\mathbb{S}^3$. The discrete group $\mathbb{Z}_N \subset U(1)$ has a non-singular action on $\mathbb{S}^3$, since the volume of this sphere is nowhere vanishing. The corresponding $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ fibration is the quotient of the Hopf fibration by $\mathbb{Z}_N$, namely the space Σ_3 of the previous chapter. It defines X_7 as being the spin bundle over Σ_3 which is at the same time a $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ fibration but now over a six-dimensional base B_6 :

$$\mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow X_7 \longrightarrow \Sigma_3, \quad \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \longrightarrow X_7 \longrightarrow B_6. \quad (4.3)$$

The metric of this non-compact G_2 manifold is known [32, 42, 45] and takes the following form (for the case $N = 1$):

$$X_7 : \quad ds^2 = dr^2 + a(r)^2 \sum_{a=1}^3 (\tilde{\sigma}_a)^2 + b(r)^2 \sum_{a=1}^2 (\sigma_a - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_a)^2 + c(r)^2 (\sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_3)^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Here σ_a and $\tilde{\sigma}_a$ are the left-invariant 1-forms of the two three-spheres $\mathbb{S}^3$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3$; $a(r)$, $b(r)$ and $c(r)$ are r -dependent functions whose forms are dictated by the requirement of having G_2 holonomy. Asymptotically, for $r \rightarrow \infty$, these functions behave like $a(r), b(r) \rightarrow r$ and $c(r) \rightarrow \text{constant}$. This asymptotic behaviour of $c(r)$ ensures that one has a constant $U(1)$ fibre at infinity, which corresponds to the differential σ_3 [42, 45]. One can reduce the theory along the circle $\mathbb{S}^1_{11}$, corresponding to setting $c(r) = 0$. Then one obtains the metric of the small resolution of the conifold, $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, with a non-trivial RR 1-form potential A_μ^{11} in addition, which corresponds to N units of RR two form flux on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

As explained already in the dual type IIA brane picture one considers N D6-branes wrapped around the $\mathbb{S}^3$ of the deformed conifold geometry, which are lifted in M-theory to N $\mathcal{KK}$ monopoles given in terms of an A_{N-1} singularity transversal to the $\mathbb{S}^3$. In the G_2 example this is achieved by constructing an $\mathbb{R}^3$ bundle over the four-dimensional A_{N-1} singularity, which means that one is considering instead the singular quotient of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3$ by $\mathbb{Z}_N$. Then it is clear that the two cases, the wrapped brane picture and the flux picture, are related by the exchange of the singular quotient by the non-singular one. Geometrically this can be realized by performing the exchange $V \rightarrow -V$ in eq.(4.2), which is just the flop transition in the G_2 geometry.

Let us now briefly switch to *case (ii)* where we have N units of RR 2-form flux plus N' units of NSNS 2-form flux through the same $\mathbb{S}^2$ of the resolved conifold, where the fluxes preserve still 1/2 supersymmetry. So we now add the circle $\mathbb{S}^1_3$ in the third direction, such that we consider M-theory on an eight-dimensional space X_8 . This space is now a spin bundle over Σ_4 . Due to the four conserved supercharges, the topology of X_8 must be given by $X_7 \times \mathbb{S}^1_3$, where, as before, X_7 has G_2 holonomy. The metric of this space can be obtained from the G_2 metric eq.(4.4) by a simple $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation, as described for the space Σ_4 (see eq.(3.13)). Namely we add just the new coordinate ψ' , and then we obtain a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ family of eight-dimensional metrics, labelled by the two integers

N, N' , of the following form⁷

$$X_8 : \quad ds^2 = dr^2 + a(r)^2 \sum_{a=1}^3 (\tilde{\sigma}_a)^2 + b(r)^2 \sum_{a=1}^2 \left(\sigma'_a - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_a \right)^2 + c(r)^2 \left(\sigma'_3 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_3 \right)^2 + c'(r)^2 (\sigma'_4)^2. \quad (4.5)$$

where the σ'_a are given by eq.(3.14). The functions $a(r), b(r), c(r), c'(r)$ for large r again have the asymptotics $a(r), b(r) \rightarrow r$, $c(r), c'(r) \rightarrow \text{constant}$, which exhibits the last two terms in (4.5) as the finite $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibre at infinity.

M-theory on this background space X_8 leads to a three-dimensional field theory with four supercharges ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry). Following the analogous derivation of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential in four dimension, the corresponding superpotential in three dimensions is given by the following expression:

$$W = (R_{11}N + iR_3N') \Pi = R_{11}(N + \tau N') \Pi. \quad (4.6)$$

This superpotential is fully covariant under the S-duality transformations

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} N \\ N' \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N \\ N' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

Via $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation it can be brought back into the form eq.(4.1), which means that in this case the three-dimensional superpotential can be obtained from the four-dimensional one by simple dimensional reduction on a circle.

4.2 The 1/4 BPS flux and M-theory on a $spin(7)$ manifold

Now we discuss the M-theory compactification on an eight-dimensional (non-compact) space X_8 , which corresponds to the 1/4 BPS situation. The holonomy is easily classified in terms of the number of conserved supercharges, which we have employed already at various instances. For $N = N' = 0$, X_8 is simply the direct product $X_6 \times \mathbb{T}^2$, where X_6 is a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau space with $SU(3)$ holonomy, corresponding to eight unbroken supercharges. In the case of $N \neq 0$, $N' = 0$, or vice versa, the flux breaks half of the supersymmetry, so together with the Calabi-Yau background 1/8 of SUSY is preserved. Then X_8 is the direct product of an G_2 manifold X_7 times an $\mathbb{S}^1$: $X_8 = X_7 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Finally, for the 1/4 supersymmetric fluxes only 1/16 supersymmetries are preserved. Therefore the holonomy H of X_8 has to be given by $spin(7)$. Now the torus $\mathbb{T}_{3,11}^2 = \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$ is

⁷It is conceivable that the choice of the two integers N, N' , which form an $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ doublet, is related to the framing ambiguity discussed in [39, 40]; we like to thank Sergei Gukov for discussions on this point.

non-trivially fibred over a six-dimensional base and circles degenerate on codimension one loci in the manner described above. H must actually contain $SU(3)$ as well as G_2 as subgroups, according to the subsectors with enhanced supersymmetry:

$$SU(3) \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow spin(7). \quad (4.8)$$

Let us now try to characterize the non-compact $spin(7)$ manifold which arises putting the RR plus the NSNS 2-form flux through the $\mathbb{S}^2$ of the resolved conifold geometry. In analogy to the G_2 manifold considered before X_8 is a fibre bundle now over the four-dimensional space Σ_4 , which is itself a torus fibration with degenerate fibres. As discussed, a particular example of this kind is given by $\Sigma_4 = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. Roughly spoken, X_8 can be constructed by fibering another circle over the seven-dimensional G_2 manifold. More precisely, X_8 can be viewed as a $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ fibrations over a seven-dimensional base space B_7 which is the non-Ricci flat relative of the G_2 manifold X_7 considered before:

$$\mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow X_8 \longrightarrow \Sigma_4(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{S}_3^1 \longrightarrow X_8 \longrightarrow B_7. \quad (4.9)$$

We can also represent X_8 as a torus fibration over B_6 :

$$\mathbb{T}_{3,11}^2 \longrightarrow X_8 \longrightarrow B_6, \quad (4.10)$$

where the torus fibration is however not smooth.

The relation between the fibration structures of the G_2 manifold X_7 and the $spin(7)$ manifolds X_8 can be nicely summarized by the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R}^4 & & \mathbb{R}^4 & & \mathbb{R}^4 & & \mathbb{R}^4 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 & \longrightarrow & X_7 & \longrightarrow & B_6 & & \mathbb{S}_3^1 & \longrightarrow & X_8 & \longrightarrow & B_7 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{S}_3^1 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_4 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_3 \end{array}$$

Now let us come to the construction of the metric of the $spin(7)$ manifold X_8 . Recall that we propose a flux/brane setting, where the Σ_4 is not yet present in the G_2 manifold but is only build up by fibering the $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ respectively $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ over the $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the parent G_2 space $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. In the simplest case, we would expect an asymptotic metric of the form

$$ds^2 \longrightarrow \underbrace{dr^2 + r^2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2)}_{\mathbb{R}^4} + \underbrace{r^2(\tilde{\Sigma}_1^2 + \tilde{\Sigma}_2^2)}_{\text{Base } \mathbb{P}^1} + \underbrace{(\tilde{\nu}_1^2 + \tilde{\nu}_2^2)}_{\text{Fibre } \mathbb{T}^2}, \quad (4.11)$$

with a finite radius for the fibre torus at infinity. Depending on how one takes the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. at which point in the base, one obtains a different copy of the fibre torus at infinity, with a different circle staying finite. This respective circle then takes over the role of the type IIA coupling constant in the appropriate ten-dimensional vacuum. On the other hand, at small radius $r \rightarrow 0$, at least after some proper shifting of r , the metric should collapse to the singular orbit Σ_4 :

$$ds^2 \longrightarrow dr^2 + \text{const} \left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_1^2 + \widetilde{\Sigma}_2^2 + \widetilde{\nu}_1^2 + \widetilde{\nu}_2^2 \right). \quad (4.12)$$

Let us now consider more closely the case, where $\Sigma_4 = \widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. As it is well known, one can construct metrics on an $\mathbb{R}^4$ bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2$ which indeed possess $spin(7)$ holonomy. The most general form of such metrics considered so far is [48, 49, 50]:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + f^2(r)\lambda^2 + a^2(r)(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) + b^2(r)(\Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2) + c^2(r)(\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2). \quad (4.13)$$

The ν_i and Σ_i are the differentials on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ while dr , λ and μ_i parametrize the $\mathbb{R}^4$. The formalism is based on a coset construction of the manifold, and thus the forms ν_i , Σ_i , μ_i and λ together with one more Cartan generator satisfy the algebra of $SU(3)$, the latter one being removed in the coset $SU(3)/U(1)$. The important feature of this cohomogeneity one ansatz is the fact that the coefficient functions only depend on a radial coordinate r and not on the angular coordinates. Only a very few explicit solutions are in fact known. The asymptotic behaviour for $r \rightarrow \infty$ of the “squashed solution” is similar to that known for Taub-NUT spaces, where one circle stays at finite radius,

$$ds^2 \longrightarrow dr^2 + \frac{9\rho^2}{4}\lambda^2 + r^2 (\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2) \quad (4.14)$$

with some constant ρ . Here, the $U(1)$ direction that corresponds to the eleventh direction is identified with the $SU(3)/U(1)$ Cartan generator λ . This is interpreted to describe the presence of a IIA D6-brane wrapped on the supersymmetric 4-cycle of the G_2 manifold $\Lambda^-\mathbb{CP}^2$ which is topologically $\mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. In other words, in M-theory the $\mathcal{KK}$ -monopole wraps on the $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and the eleventh circle, given by λ , being fibered non-trivially, but still approaches constant radius at infinity and thus supports a type IIA string vacuum with a finite coupling constant.

This configuration is indeed not quite what we have in mind. In order to realize the asymptotic behaviour (4.11) in terms of the splitting of base and fibre in the metric of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ (see eq.(3.24)), one is now tempted to identify

$$ds_{\text{FS}}^2 = \Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 = \widetilde{\Sigma}_1^2 + \widetilde{\Sigma}_2^2 + \widetilde{\nu}_1^2 + \widetilde{\nu}_2^2. \quad (4.15)$$

The choice of the Vielbein components $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}$ is of course not unique. However analyzing the differential system in [50] which ensures $spin(7)$ holonomy, one can show that the function $c(r)$ in eq.(4.13) can never approach a constant for $r \rightarrow \infty$. Also one needs to take care that the differentials $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_i$ can never satisfy the algebra of $SU(3)$ together with the generators μ_1 and μ_2 , as do Σ_i and ν_i . When the metric on the base does not depend on the coordinates of the fibre at large radius r , this signals a breaking of the $SU(3)$ invariance of the metric asymptotically. Thus, the $spin(7)$ manifold we propose to exist on physical grounds does seem to fit easily into the known classes of examples, constructed from the coset formalism.

As we will discuss in the appendix, one finds that the asymptotic restrictions cannot be solved within the cohomogeneity one ansatz, if one tries to stick to the differentials (3.25). Hence, the expectation (4.11) may even be too simple and one should allow for completely independent coefficients for the differentials on $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ and $\mathbb{S}_3^1$ in the metric, which then may depend on r as well as on the coordinates of the base space. For further explanations the reader is referred to the appendix.

In any case, the Fubini Study metric on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ allows a split into base $\mathbb{P}^1$ and a fibre $\mathbb{T}^2$, and a solution with asymptotics of the type described by (4.11) would presumably reproduce the M-theory dynamics of the type II vacua with respective fluxes or branes considered earlier. The explicit metric still remains to be found, its existence, in the absence of any mathematical existence proof, being inferred only on physical grounds.

5 Some remarks on higher n -form fluxes

In this section Vafa likes to add some remarks on the possible explanation of higher NS-fluxes, such as NSNS 4-flux and NSNS 6-flux.

5.1 4-form flux

As already discussed in the introduction, in the mirror type IIB picture the four-dimensional superpotential due to 3-fluxes has the form

$$W = N \Pi + \alpha t, \quad (5.1)$$

where the gauge coupling constant $\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{C}^{(3)}} \tau H_{NS}^{(3)}$ is the NSNS 3-form flux over the non-compact cycle $\mathcal{C}^{(3)}$, and the gaugino condensate $t = \text{Tr } W^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} \Omega$ is the modulus of the dual 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$. Now in type IIA the origin of the gaugino condensate term in

the superpotential is somehow mysterious, and in fact it was argued in [18] that it is related to the NSNS 4-form flux. Namely turning on the RR 2-form flux implies that X_6 is actually not anymore a Calabi-Yau space but replaced by the six-dimensional base B_6 of the G_2 manifold. However the holomorphic three-form Ω of X_6 is no longer closed on B_6 , Ω is not anymore annihilated by the operator $\bar{\partial}$. Instead of $\bar{\partial}$ Vafa [18] introduces a new operator $\bar{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{\partial} + A\partial$, where A is an anti-holomorphic 1-form taking values in the tangent bundle, $A \in \Lambda^{(0,1)}(X, TX)$. Squaring this operator leads to an antiholomorphic 2-form taking values in the tangent bundle as well, given as

$$\mathcal{F} = \bar{\partial}A + [A, A], \quad \mathcal{F} = f_{i\bar{j}} d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j \otimes dz^k \in \Lambda^{(0,2)}(X, TX). \quad (5.2)$$

Finally, multiplying $\mathcal{F}$ with the holomorphic (3,0)-form Ω one obtains a (2,2)-form, which we like to identify with $\text{Im } \tau H_{NS}^{(4)}$:

$$\text{Im } \tau H_{NS}^{(4)} = f_{i\bar{j}} d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j \otimes dz^k \Omega_{ijk} dz_i \wedge dz_j \wedge dz_k \in \Lambda^{(2,2)}(X). \quad (5.3)$$

This (2,2)-form can be used to define the imaginary part of α . Going to M-theory it can be then further argued [18, 19] that the NSNS 4-form flux α corresponds after appropriate regularization to the holomorphic volume of the 3-cycle $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3$, i.e.

$$\alpha = \int_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3} (C^{(3)} + i\Omega) = \theta + i\frac{\rho}{g_s}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $C^{(3)}$ is the M-theory 3-form potential.

The conclusion of this argument is that the RR 2-form flux is necessarily turned on together with NSNS 4-form flux, which actually arises as a violation of $\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$. This can be also seen in the effective superpotential eq.(5.1). Here the conditions for finding supersymmetric groundstates, $W = dW = 0$, turn into the following relation [18]:

$$(e^t - 1)^N = a \exp(-\alpha). \quad (5.5)$$

So one sees that unbroken supersymmetry intimately ties together the RR 2-form flux with the NSNS 4-form flux.

In the following let us try to give to the real part θ in eq.(5.4) a 4-flux interpretation. Since the RR 4-form field strength $H_R^{(4)}$ is present in type IIA, we can immediately integrate it over the non-compact four-cycle $\mathcal{C}^{(4)} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3$ of the deformed conifold, which is dual to the $\mathbb{S}^2$ considered before. In M-theory, this originates just from the standard G -flux, i.e. it is given by the intergral of the eleven-dimensional field strength $G^{(4)} = dC^{(3)}$, integrated over the same $\mathcal{C}^{(4)}$ inside X_7 . This integration does not involve the eleventh

circle $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$, and we can obtain the following Ramond contribution to the (four-dimensional) superpotential eq.(2.11):

$$\text{Re } W = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3} G^{(4)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} J = t \int_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^3} C^{(3)} = \theta t, \quad t = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} J. \quad (5.6)$$

This indeed agrees with eq.(5.4).

5.2 6-form flux

In this section we want to briefly discuss a contribution to the three-dimensional superpotential of the form

$$W = \int_{X_6} H_R^{(6)} + i \int_{X_6} H_{NS}^{(6)} = N_0^R + \frac{i}{g_s} N_0^{NS}. \quad (5.7)$$

The RR part of this superpotential simply follows from the integration of the 6-form field strength $H_R^{(6)}$ which is present in the type IIA spectrum. One can lift this to M-theory by considering the 7-form field strength $H^{(7)}$, dual to the field strength $G_4 = dC_3$, where C_3 is the 3-form potential of M-theory. Specifically, we assume in this section that M-theory is compactified on $X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$, where X_6 is Calabi-Yau, which means that we assume for simplicity that there are no other fluxes turned on. Then the RR 6-form flux is given in terms of the integral of $H^{(7)}$, where six of the indices of $H^{(7)}$ are on X_6 and the last index is on $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$:

$$\int_{X_6} H_R^{(6)} = \int_{X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_{11}^1} H^{(7)} = R_{11} N_0^R. \quad (5.8)$$

On the other hand, the NSNS 6-form flux is obtained by replacing $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1$ by $\mathbb{S}_3^1$, namely by integrating $H^{(7)}$ with six indices on X_6 and one index on $\mathbb{S}_3^1$:

$$i \int_{X_6} H_{NS}^{(6)} = i \int_{X_6 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1} H^{(7)} = i R_3 N_0^{NS}. \quad (5.9)$$

We see that the sum of the superpotentials eqs.(5.8) and (5.9) agrees with eq.(5.7) upon rescaling with $1/R_{11}$.

6 Summary

Let us summarize the different cases of flux backgrounds we have considered in this paper. N units of RR 2-form flux (together with a NSNS 4-form flux) on the conifold preserve four supercharges and leads to four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $U(N)$ gauge theory with a flux induced superpotential. By reducing further to three dimensions, the type IIB

$SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ duality can be made manifest by a doublet of fluxes (N, N') , which corresponds to N units of Ramond 2-form flux together with N' units of NSNS 2-form flux. For 1/2 supersymmetric fluxes this situation is described in M-theory by a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ family of (eight-dimensional) metrics of G_2 holonomy of spaces of topology $X_7 \times S^1$. This setting has a dual brane description in terms of 1/2 BPS bound states of N D6-branes plus N' Kalaza-Klein monopoles wrapped on sLag cycles within the Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Space-time supersymmetry will be further reduced by half, if one simultaneously turns on RR as well as NSNS 2-form flux on the conifold times a torus in a particular and singular fashion, that is related to a dual 1/4 BPS brane web state. This configuration leads to a field theory with minimal $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in three dimensions so that these fluxes realize partial supersymmetry breaking from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. Geometrically, they are described by M-theory on a $spin(7)$ manifold.⁸ It would be interesting to explore further the geometric understanding of non-perturbative effects in the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric field theories [68, 69]. Following [73] one could try to relate these $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric theories in three dimensions to non-supersymmetric theories in four-dimensions with vanishing cosmological constant.

A $Spin(7)$ metric ansatz

In this appendix we employ the formalism which has been used for the construction of all the known explicit metrics with $spin(7)$ holonomy. First recall that there are three different non-compact G_2 manifolds X_7 , each given as a cone on some compact six-dimensional space Y_6 [45]:

$$(A) : Y_6 = \mathbb{C}P^3, \quad (B) : Y_6 = SU(3)/(U(1) \times U(1)), \quad (C) : Y_6 = \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3. \quad (A.1)$$

Asymptotically for large r the metric takes the general form

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_6^2, \quad (A.2)$$

where $d\Omega_6^2$ is the metric on Y_6 . Topologically spaces (A) and (B) are some $\mathbb{R}^3$ bundle over $\mathbb{S}^4$ respectively $\mathbb{C}P^2$, whereas space (C) is a $\mathbb{R}^4$ bundle over $\mathbb{S}^3$. All three spaces possess a $U(1)$ fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow X_7 \longrightarrow B_6, \quad (A.3)$$

⁸Other geometric realizations of three-dimensional field theories with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry are provided by the heterotic string on a G_2 manifold or by S-theory on U-manifolds (certain $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ fibred Calabi-Yau five-folds [70, 71, 72]).

which means that one can squash the metrics in such a way that the remainder of the squashed metric asymptotically is a cone over a reduced five-dimensional space Z_5 :

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \rho\lambda^2 + r^2 d\Omega_5^2. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Here ρ is a constant, λ is the Vielbein corresponding to the $U(1)$ fibre, with finite radius at infinity, and $d\Omega_5^2$ is the metric of Z_5 . For example, for case (C), $Z_5 = T^{1,1} = (SU(2) \times SU(2))/U(1)_D$, the base of the cone of the conifold.

Roughly speaking, we would now like fibre another $U(1)$ over each of the three G_2 manifolds X_7 (A) – (C). The resulting spaces are given by non-compact $spin(7)$ manifolds X_8 , which are themselves asymptotically cones over a seven-dimensional space Y_7 . Cases (A) and (B) were discussed e.g. in [48, 49, 50]:

$$(\text{A}) : Y_7 = SO(5)/SO(3), \quad (\text{B}) : Y_7 = SU(3)/U(1). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The spaces (A) and (B) are $\mathbb{R}^4$ bundles over $\mathbb{S}^4$ or $\mathbb{C}P^2$, respectively. Again one can squash the corresponding metrics in order to exhibit the $U(1)$ fibration structure, and then the remainder of the squashed metrics is a cone over a six-dimensional space Z_6 . For case (A), this space is given $Z_6 = \mathbb{C}P^3$. In terms of branes, this $U(1)$ fibration can be understood by wrapping D6-branes around the co-associative $\mathbb{S}^4$ cycle in the parent G_2 manifold (A). For case (B) with metric eq.(4.13), being described in the main part of the text, $Z_6 = SU(3)/(U(1) \times U(1))$, which corresponds to wrapping D6-branes around the $\mathbb{C}P^2$ inside the corresponding G_2 manifold (B).

The manifold we are after has been characterized above as arising from the degenerate fibration of a torus over an $\mathbb{S}^2$ and at the same time allowing two limiting cases where it appears as adding a circle fibre to a G_2 manifold, which itself is defined by a quotient of a Hopf fibration. Thus, we are mainly interested in the construction of a $spin(7)$ manifold (C) which is the close relative of the corresponding G_2 manifold (C). Replacing $\mathbb{S}^3$ by Σ_4 , the $spin(7)$ manifold should be a $\mathbb{R}^4$ bundle over Σ_4 . Asymptotically this corresponds to a cone on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \Sigma_4$. Upon ‘dividing’ by the $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibre $\mathbb{S}_{11}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1$ the remaining metric is again a cone on $T^{1,1}$. Let us now assume that $\Sigma_4 = \mathbb{C}P^2 = SU(3)/(SU(2) \times U(1))$ (respectively $\Sigma_4 = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P^2}$). In order to construct the metric of this space (C), it would be quite natural to start with an ansatz which uses the $SU(2)$ differential σ_a and the differentials $\tilde{\Sigma}_i, \tilde{\nu}_i$ of the coset $SU(3)/(SU(2) \times U(1))$:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & dr^2 + a^2(r)(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2) \\ & + b^2(r) \left((\tilde{\Sigma}_1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma_1)^2 + (\tilde{\Sigma}_2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma_2)^2 \right) + c^2(r) \left((\tilde{\nu}_1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\sigma_3)^2 + (\tilde{\nu}_2 - \frac{\beta}{2}\sigma_3)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

We take β and γ as two free real parameters to play with. This also would potentially reflect the asymptotic behaviour in eq.(4.11). However the difficulty with this ansatz is that the Vielbeins of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ do not build a closed algebra. This can be immediately seen from the Maurer-Cartan equation of $SU(3)$,

$$de^a = -\frac{1}{2}f_{bi}^a e^b \wedge e^i - \frac{1}{2}f_{bc}^a e^b \wedge e^c, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where the algebra of the coset generators e^a also involves the generators e^i of the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ isotropy group. It follows that the construction of the corresponding metric would go beyond the cohomogeneity one ansatz, and is therefore very difficult to handle explicitly.

As an alternative, one may consider an $SU(3)$ invariant ansatz, where the manifold arises from the coset $SU(3)/U(1)$. This would not quite be the structure we expect to emerge, because it does not display the pattern of the two G_2 manifolds reached by taking certain limits in an obvious way, i.e. going to certain points in the base of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. But, on the other hand, this manifold explicitly involves a $\mathbb{CP}^2$. For instance, one may want to use an ansatz (in the notation of [50], where $\lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2, \Sigma_1$ and Σ_2 are coordinates on the coset)

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & dr^2 + f^2(r)\lambda^2 + a^2(r)(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) \\ & + b^2(r) \left((\Sigma_1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma_1)^2 + (\Sigma_2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma_2)^2 \right) + c^2(r) \left((\nu_1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda)^2 + (\nu_2 - \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

But it turns out that this does not respect the $U(1)$ symmetry, thus is not defined properly on $SU(3)/U(1)$.⁹

So in conclusion, we should better stick to the coordinates which realize the splitting in the metric into base and fibre within $\mathbb{CP}^2$, as in (3.22). But then, as mentioned already, the differentials $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ do not satisfy algebraic relations anymore. Therefore, such an ansatz, which is what we would really like to carry through, is beyond the scope of present techniques, unfortunately.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Mina Aganagic, Volker Braun, Sergei Gukov, Albrecht Klemm, Tony Pantev and Ashoke Sen for valuable discussions. D.L. is especially grateful to Jan Louis who was involved in an early stage of this project. This work is supported by

⁹We would like to thank V. Braun who has drawn our attention to this point which was missed in an earlier version of this paper.

the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), by the European Commission RTN programme HPRN-CT-2000-00131 and by GIF - the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research. In addition this research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY99-07949 through the Institute for Theoretical Physics in Santa Barbara. D.L. thanks the ITP for the hospitality during part of this work.

References

- [1] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *New Vacua for Type II String Theory*, Phys. Lett. B **388** (1996) 736, [hep-th/9510227].
- [2] J. Michelson, *Compactifications of Type IIB Strings to Four Dimensions with Non-trivial Classical Potential*, Nucl. Phys. B **495** (1997) 127, [hep-th/9610151].
- [3] S. Gukov, C. Vafa and E. Witten, *CFT's from Calabi-Yau four-folds*, Nucl. Phys. B **584** (2000) 69, [hep-th/9906070].
- [4] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh, and S. Sethi, *M theory, Orientifolds and G-flux*, JHEP 08 (1999) 023, [hep-th/9908088].
- [5] S. Gukov, *Solitons, superpotentials and calibrations*, Nucl. Phys. B **574** (2000) 169, [hep-th/9911011].
- [6] T. R. Taylor and C. Vafa, *RR Flux on Calabi-Yau and Partial Supersymmetry Breaking*, Phys. Lett. B **474** (2000) 130, [hep-th/9912152].
- [7] P. Mayr, *On supersymmetry breaking in string theory and its realization in brane worlds*, Nucl. Phys. B **593** (2000) 99, [hep-th/0003198].
- [8] M. Grana and J. Polchinski, *Supersymmetric three-form flux perturbations on $AdS(5)$* , Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 026001, [hep-th/0009211].
- [9] S. S. Gubser, *Supersymmetry and F-theory realization of the deformed conifold with three-form flux*, [hep-th/0010010].
- [10] G. Curio, A. Klemm, D. Lüst and S. Theisen, *On the Vacuum Structure of Type II String Compactifications on Calabi-Yau Spaces with H-Fluxes*, [hep-th/0012213].
- [11] M. Haack and J. Louis, *M-theory compactified on Calabi-Yau fourfolds with background flux*, Phys. Lett. B **507** (2001) 296, [hep-th/0103068].

- [12] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, *Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications*, [hep-th/0105097].
- [13] G. Curio, A. Klemm, B. Körs and D. Lüst, *Fluxes in heterotic and type II string compactifications*, [hep-th/0106155].
- [14] G. Dall'Agata, *Type IIB supergravity compactified on a Calabi-Yau manifold with H-fluxes*, [hep-th/0107264].
- [15] J. Louis, Seminar at the Humboldt-University, May 2001, and work in progress.
- [16] K. Dasgupta, K. h. Oh, J. Park and R. Tatar, *Geometric transition versus cascading solution*, [hep-th/0110050].
- [17] J. Louis and A. Micu, *Heterotic string theory with background fluxes*, [hep-th/0110187].
- [18] C. Vafa, *Superstrings and topological strings at large N*, [hep-th/0008142].
- [19] F. Cachazo, K. Intriligator and C. Vafa, *A large N duality via a geometric transition*, [hep-th/0103067].
- [20] J. D. Edelstein, K. Oh and R. Tatar, *Orientifold, geometric transition and large N duality for SO/Sp gauge theories*, JHEP **0105** (2001) 009, [hep-th/0104037].
- [21] M. Dine, P. Huet and N. Seiberg, *Large And Small Radius In String Theor*, Nucl. Phys. B **322** (1989) 301.
- [22] J. Dai, R. G. Leigh and J. Polchinski, *New Connections Between String Theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4** (1989) 2073.
- [23] M. Abou-Zeid, B. de Wit, D. Lüst and H. Nicolai, *Space-time supersymmetry, IIA/B duality and M-theory*, Phys. Lett. B **466** (1999) 144, [hep-th/9908169].
- [24] J. H. Schwarz, *An $SL(2, Z)$ multiplet of type IIB superstrings*, Phys. Lett. B **360** (1995) 13, [Erratum-ibid. B **364** (1995) 252], [hep-th/9508143].
- [25] J. H. Schwarz, *Superstring dualities*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **49** (1996) 183, [hep-th/9509148].
- [26] J. H. Schwarz, *The power of M theory*, Phys. Lett. B **367** (1996) 97, [hep-th/9510086].

- [27] P. S. Aspinwall, *Some relationships between dualities in string theory*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **46** (1996) 30, [hep-th/9508154].
- [28] D.D. Joyce, *Compact 8-manifolds with holonomy $spin(7)$* , Invent. Math. **123** (1996) 507.
- [29] S. L. Shatashvili and C. Vafa, *Superstrings and manifold of exceptional holonomy*, [hep-th/9407025].
- [30] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, *Compactification of $D = 11$ supergravity on spaces of exceptional holonomy*, Phys. Lett. B **357** (1995) 300, [hep-th/9506150].
- [31] B. S. Acharya, *On mirror symmetry for manifolds of exceptional holonomy*, Nucl. Phys. B **524** (1998) 269, [hep-th/9707186].
- [32] M. Atiyah, J. Maldacena and C. Vafa, *An M-theory flop as a large N duality*, [hep-th/0011256].
- [33] K. Becker, *A note on compactifications on $Spin(7)$ -holonomy manifolds*, JHEP **0105** (2001) 003, [hep-th/0011114].
- [34] J. Gomis, *D-branes, holonomy and M-theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **606** (2001) 3, [hep-th/0103115].
- [35] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lü and C. N. Pope, *New complete non-compact $spin(7)$ manifolds*, [hep-th/0103155].
- [36] J. D. Edelstein and C. Nunez, *D6-branes and M theory Geometrical Transitions from Gauged Supergravity*, JHEP **0104** (2001) 028, [hep-th/0103167].
- [37] P. Kaste, A. Kehagias and H. Partouche, *Phases of supersymmetric gauge theories from M-theory on $G(2)$ manifolds*, JHEP **0105** (2001) 058, [hep-th/0104124].
- [38] Y. Konishi and M. Naka, *Coset construction of $Spin(7)$, $G(2)$ gravitational instantons*, [hep-th/0104208].
- [39] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm and C. Vafa, *Disk instantons, mirror symmetry and the duality web*, [hep-th/0105045].
- [40] M. Aganagic and C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry and a $G(2)$ flop*, [hep-th/0105225].
- [41] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *M3-branes, $G(2)$ manifolds and pseudo-supersymmetry*, [hep-th/0106026].

- [42] A. Brandhuber, J. Gomis, S. S. Gubser and S. Gukov, *Gauge theory at large N and new $G(2)$ holonomy metrics*, [hep-th/0106034].
- [43] R. Hernandez, *Branes wrapped on coassociative cycles*, Phys. Lett. B **521**, 371 (2001) [hep-th/0106055].
- [44] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, J. T. Liu, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *A new fractional $D2$ -brane, $G(2)$ holonomy and T -duality*, [hep-th/0106162].
- [45] M. Atiyah and E. Witten, *M-Theory Dynamics On A Manifold Of G_2 Holonomy*, [hep-th/0107177].
- [46] E. Witten, *Anomaly cancellation on $G(2)$ manifolds*, [hep-th/0108165].
- [47] K. Sugiyama and S. Yamaguchi, *Cascade of special holonomy manifolds and heterotic string theory*, [hep-th/0108219].
- [48] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Cohomogeneity one manifolds of $spin(7)$ and $G(2)$ holonomy*, [hep-th/0108245].
- [49] H. Kanno and Y. Yasui, *On $spin(7)$ holonomy metric based on $SU(3)/U(1)$* , [hep-th/0108226].
- [50] S. Gukov and J. Sparks, *M-theory on $spin(7)$ manifolds: I*, [hep-th/0109025].
- [51] J. Majumder, *Type IIA orientifold limit of M-theory on compact Joyce 8-manifold of $Spin(7)$ -holonomy*, [hep-th/0109076].
- [52] B. Acharya and E. Witten, *Chiral fermions from manifolds of $G(2)$ holonomy*, [hep-th/0109152].
- [53] M. Aganagic and C. Vafa, *$G(2)$ manifolds, mirror symmetry and geometric engineering*, [hep-th/0110171].
- [54] R. Blumenhagen and V. Braun, *Superconformal Field Theories for Compact G_2 Manifolds*, [hep-th/0110232].
- [55] R. Roiban and J. Walcher, *Rational Conformal Field Theories With G_2 Holonomy*, [hep-th/0110302].
- [56] T. Eguchi and Y. Sugawara, *String Theory on G_2 Manifolds Based on Gepner Construction*, [hep-th/0111012].

- [57] R. Blumenhagen and V. Braun, *Superconformal Field Theories for Compact Manifolds with $Spin(7)$ Holonomy*, [hep-th/0111048].
- [58] J. P. Gauntlett, N.-W. Kim, D. Martelli and D. Waldram, *Fivebranes Wrapped on SLAG Three-cycles and related Geometry*, [hep-th/0110034].
- [59] R. Minasian and D. Tsimpis, *Hopf reductions, fluxes and branes*, [hep-th/0106266].
- [60] N. C. Leung and C. Vafa, *Branes and toric geometry*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 91, [hep-th/9711013].
- [61] E. Witten, *Bound States of Strings and p-branes*, Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996) 335, [hep-th/9510135].
- [62] M. Mihailescu, I. Y. Park and T. A. Tran, *D-branes as solitons of an $N = 1$, $D = 10$ non-commutative gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 046006 (2001) [hep-th/0011079].
- [63] E. Witten, *BPS Bound States of D0-D6 and D0-D8 Systems in a B-field*, [hep-th/0012054].
- [64] R. Blumenhagen, V. Braun, and R. Helling, *Bound States of $D(2p)$ -D0 Systems and Supersymmetric p-cycles*, Phys. Lett. B **510** (2001) 311-319, [hep-th/0012157].
- [65] B. K rs, D. L st and A. Miemiec, *Non-commutative D- and M-brane Bound States*, Fortsch. Phys. 49 (2001) 869, [hep-th/0103203].
- [66] O. Aharony and A. Hanany, *Branes, Superpotentials and Superconformal Fixed Points*, Nucl. Phys. B **504** (1997) 239, [hep-th/9704170].
- [67] O. Aharony, A. Hanany and B. Kol, *Webs of (p, q) 5-branes, Five-dimensional Field Theories and Grid Diagrams*, JHEP **01** (1998) 002, [hep-th/9710116].
- [68] I. Affleck, J. A. Harvey and E. Witten, *Instantons And (Super)Symmetry Breaking In $(2+1)$ -Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **206** (1982) 413.
- [69] S. Katz and C. Vafa, *Geometric engineering of $N = 1$ quantum field theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **497** (1997) 196, [hep-th/9611090].
- [70] A. Kumar and C. Vafa, *U-manifolds*, Phys. Lett. B **396** (1997) 85, [hep-th/9611007].
- [71] J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, *U-branes and $\mathbb{T}^3$ fibrations*, Nucl. Phys. B **510** (1998) 538, [hep-th/9707125].

- [72] G. Curio and D. Lüst, *New $N = 1$ supersymmetric 3-dimensional superstring vacua from U -manifolds*, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 95, [hep-th/9802193].
- [73] E. Witten, *Strong coupling and the cosmological constant*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995) 2153, [hep-th/9506101].